STATES OF JERSEY



GOVERNANCE AND DECISION MAKING DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC (R.70/2022): EXECUTIVE **RESPONSE (R.70/2022 RES.) – COMMENTS**

Presented to the States on 9th November 2022 by the Public Accounts Committee

STATES GREFFE

2022 R.70 Res.

FOREWORD

In accordance with paragraphs 115-116 of the Code of Practice for engagement between 'Scrutiny Panels and the Public Accounts Committee' and 'the Executive', (as derived from Standing Orders of the States Assembly) the Public Accounts Committee (the 'Committee') presents its comments on the Executive Response to the Comptroller and Auditor General's (C&AG) Report entitled: Governance and Decision Making during the COVID-19 Pandemic (R.70/2022 presented to the States on 6th May 2022).

Comments

- 1. A substantial part of the Public Accounts Committee's (the Committee's) role, as set out in the **Standing Orders of the States of Jersey**, is to assess the use of public funds and whether sound financial practices have been applied. This includes understanding whether good governance and best practices have been applied in planning, implementing and administrating projects undertaken by the Government of Jersey.
- 2. The C&AG published her report on 6th May 2022 and the Committee received and presented the Executive Response to the report on 15th August 2022. The review evaluated the effectiveness of overall governance and decision-making processes during the COVID-19 pandemic and was part of a series of several reviews undertaken by the C&AG of the Government's response to COVID-19.
- 3. The review analysed the implementation of Government emergency planning, governance structures and roles, decision-making and the provision of advice to support decision-making. The C&AG concluded that Government quickly refined and established decision-making groups at political and officer level in response to COVID-19 but highlighted the need for updated emergencies legislation and some ambiguity about the roles of decision-making groups. The C&AG also concluded that there is room for Government to develop the mechanisms used for identifying, recording and managing potential conflicts of interest at the political and officer level, and to standardise the structure and content of reports submitted to political decision-making groups.

Purpose of PAC's Comments

4. The C&AG made 8 findings and 13 recommendations in its review of Governance and Decision Making during the COVID-19 Pandemic. The Committee notes that, of its 13 recommendations, 12 had been 'accepted' and one 'partially accepted'. The Committee has considered the rationale provided by the Government through the Executive Response and has concluded that further action is required on specific areas as outlined below.

C&AG	Executive Response	Target Date	Responsible Officer
Recommendation			
8			
Ensure that all	(Partially Accept)	September	Chief of Staff/Head
declarations of	Declarations of interest	2022	of Ministerial
interest and	are minuted. However, the		Support Unit
associated	Ministerial Support Unit		
arrangements for	will review how they are		
management of	dealt with once minuted		
conflicts of	so that they can be		
interest are clearly	updated on a regular basis.		
minuted.			

Further Action Required: The Committee notes that the purpose of Recommendation 8 was to ensure that the minutes record declarations of interests, as well as any agreed actions to be taken to manage those interests and is supportive of the C&AG's comments that such minuting is an important component of good governance. The Committee is not satisfied with the response provided and is concerned that it does not commit to minuting the actions to be taken in response to declarations of interest.

C&AG	Executive Response	Target Date	Responsible Officer
Recommendation 9	_	_	_
Adopt and use a	(Accept)	September	Chief of Staff/Head
standard format for	Standard templates	2022	of Ministerial
agenda papers for	for discussions are in		Support Unit
political decision-	place. However, the		
making groups that	format and structure		
includes:	of the agendas and		
	associated papers will		
 the reason for 	be subject to review		
 referral to the 	by the incoming		
group	Chief Minister and		
 in question 	Council of Ministers,		
• the	with a view to		
recommended	ensuring greater		
• course of	consistency is		
action	delivered.		
 the potential 			
 alternative 			
courses			
 of action; and 			
The reasons for the			
recommended course			
of action.			

Further Action Required: The Committee notes that whilst Recommendation 9 has been accepted in the response, it does not provide a commitment to include the individual items specified by the C&AG in the Recommendation. The Committee is

supportive of the items specified by the C&AG in the Recommendation and believes that it is important that these are adopted and used in a standardised format for agenda papers for political decision-making groups. The Committee intends to seek confirmation that the format and structure of the agendas and associated papers has been subject to review by the Chief Minister and Council of Ministers, and that a standard format for agenda papers has been adopted.

5. The Committee wishes to highlight that Government publications must be accessible to members of the public and Scrutiny, and believes it is important that reports issued by Government departments are provided in a consistent, transparent, and standardised format, with a clear glossary of definitions that explain relevant terminology.

Conclusion

6. In summary, the Committee will seek further evidence to ensure that the accepted recommendations are implemented and that improved practices are embedded. It also expects to see evidence that all (accepted, partly or partially, accepted) recommendations have been added to the Recommendations Tracker so that their progress towards implementation can be tracked closely. The Committee is hopeful that Government will consider its comments and intends to monitor progress.

Deputy L. Feltham

Chair, Public Accounts Committee